Beaufort Park, Royal Wootton Bassett - Waiting Restrictions and 20mph

Name	Address	Objection/ Support	Officer comments
Resident	Online form	There is little enough parking on this	The proposed restrictions of
		estate and the roads are too narrow for	parking on the highway are
		any more parking spaces to be	considered necessary, at
		removed	the limited locations
			identified, to ensure access by service and emergency
			vehicles can be maintained.
Resident	email	Dear Sir/Madam	The constraints of the
			carriageway width on Hart
		Reference: LJB/TRO/WBAS4	Close has resulted, in the
			past, in emergency vehicles
		I write to you in respect of the Traffic	not being able to gain
		Regulation Order proposed under the	access. The occurrences of
		Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and	on-street parking throughout
		Traffic Management Act 2004 to	the estate have been
		introduce 'No waiting at any time' restrictions in Hart Close, Royal Wotton	reviewed, and the proposals for parking restrictions have
		Bassett.	been indicated at key
		Basson	locations where such on-
		I note in the statement on the notice	street parking could hinder
		where it specifically refers to: 'There	access by emergency and
		have been concerns and instances	service vehicles.
		regarding unfettered access not being	The area at the end of Hart
		available for emergency services and	Close by nos. 36 and 11
		hence waiting restrictions at restrictive	forms a turning head to the
		locations with the site have been	close, and therefore parking
		deemed necessary'.	restrictions have been proposed to ensure
		Your proposed parking restriction on	emergency and service
		the area opposite 36 Hart Close and	vehicles can manoeuvre.
		adjacent to 11 Hart Close for the above	
		reason is not applicable, the three	
		bollards located at this site are fixed to	
		the ground and cannot be removed,	
		therefore emergency service vehicles	
		would not be able to obtain access to	
		the properties beyond this (numbers 40,42,44,46,48) via this route.	
		70,72,77,70,70) via tilio toute.	
		These properties can however be	
		accessed from Dior Drive, DaVinci	
		Walk (where there are removable	
		bollards) and the access road where	
		158 Cloatley Crescent is located.	
		As for the properties as Heat Oless	
		As for the properties on Hart Close,	
		there is already a clear access route into Hart Close via Cloatley Crescent	
		and where necessary further access	
		can be obtained through the removable	
		parking bollards located at the bottom	
		of Hart Close adjacent to Station Road.	
		I note a necessary parking restriction is	
		proposed here as it is adjacent to the	

main road and the bollards are removable. There is minimal parking space in Hart Close (not all properties have allocated parking spaces) and the area opposite 36 Hart Close is used by the majority of residents at various times for this purpose. Placing a restriction on this area would force residents to park in other parts of the estate away from their houses. The nearest area where parking may be available is Dior Drive, which last month was where a fifteenyear-old boy was stabbed and subjected to a violent assault. As such you are proposing that residents of Hart Close, for no reason other than to perhaps generate revenue through fixed penalty notices (as the reason given above is not applicable) to park in a location which is clearly unsafe and to force residents to walk down an unlit alleyway in order to access their homes. I am unhappy with this as you'll be forcing my wife with my three-monthold baby to do this, placing their safety at risk. I therefore object to the proposal that a parking restriction be placed here for the reasons outlined above. As per the Wiltshire Council website I note that you require my address in order for my view to be considered, however due to the nature of my occupation I would like assurances first that this will not be made public as doing so could place my family at risk. I am happy to discuss this further if required. Subject: LJB/TRO/WBAS4 Resident email The proposed restrictions of parking on the highway are Hello considered necessary, at the limited locations I would like to object to the above identified, to ensure access proposed No waiting at anytime outside by service and emergency my house on in Royal vehicles can be maintained. Wootton Bassett. I live at and at present we are a 2 car household. I work full time in Swindon and I park outside my house. Where I park I am not blocking anyone. If you put a no waiting at anytime outside of my house where would I park my car. I have one parking space which my husband uses and we have

no other parking near us including no Visitor parking. So once you apply the No waiting at anytime right outside my house I will have nowhere to park my car. I have lived in for just over 9 and half years and I have never ever blocked any access during that time. Also this would restrict any visitors coming to see us and any of our neighbours. Parking is already at a premium around this side of the estate and there are cars parking on the path which you are not addressing going from my house to Brewery Close. None of my neighbours have been allocated more than one space so most are parking outside on the road. Now you are going to remove the only place available for Visitors and for me to park. It have been suggested that I should park down by the shop in Brewery Close but all the spaces down by the shop are allocated to houses and 3 spaces allocated to the shop. Where I park outside my house I am not blocking the steps going up to the playing field. Please can you reconsider marking the area outside my house as a No waiting at anytime as this is literally the end of the road and we are not blocking anyone. During the 9 and half years that I have lived here I have always used this area to park and feel that I am now being forced to either sell my car or move. I certainly am not happy about the proposed new traffic regulation order for Beaufort park and would ask that you reconsider this and accept my objection. Resident email To whom it may concern The proposed restrictions of parking on the highway are I write re: the consultation period of considered necessary, at Wiltshire Council taking over the roads the limited locations on the Beaufort Estate, Royal Wootton identified, to ensure access Bassett. by service and emergency vehicles can be maintained. I live in in the Beaufort Estate where the proposal to The visitor parking bays are line the area which is right outside my not within the adopted highway, and therefore no property. . I can restrictions can be placed see you have accommodated the Refuse Collectors by putting in the on their use. The issues restrictions. However, when the bins concerning visitor parking

are put outside my property, I get plagued by flies and smell each week and debris left behind. I'd rather the bins weren't put there from the residents in Davinci Walk. spaces and bin collection need to be referred to the house builder or appointed management company.

My son and daughter often park there when they come to visit. We have 4 VP (visitors parking) spots under the arch way at the back of us. But unfortunately, my family can't park there because the residents in Davinci Walk who's properties back on to the car park and who's garages are there, have made these VP spots their own place to park. It's because 1 family have 4 cars and the other 3 cars. When I speak to them I get" we have been here 12 years and always parked there". On the deeds it states and I quote 'The right for visitors to the property to park on a first come first served basis in a Visitors Space for a period NOT exceeding 12hours in any 24 hours period.

My question is will you be placing a time plate by these VP spaces. Then my family will be able to park still and visit me. As I and my husband have worked in SBC parking services and without that time plate nothing can be done. As it states the above on my deeds, I would hope you would place a time plate by the spaces.

If nothing is done about the VP spaces, then I oppose the lines being placed outside my property.

I would also like a height limit on the archway under my property. As vehicles often hit the top of the arch. Which is getting damaged and I live above it.

Thank you.

Further to a request from Democratic Services we are no longer able to include any names within the report.